

From cestey@millcomm.com Tue Dec 03 10:18:06 1996
Received: from mill2.millcomm.com (root@Mill2.MillComm.COM [199.170.133.2]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id KAA04683 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 3 Dec 1996 10:18:05 -0600 (CST)
Received: from honrbc2.resd.honeywell.com by mill2.millcomm.com with smtp (Smail3.1.29.1 #2) id m0vUxYe-000Md7C; Tue, 3 Dec 96 10:18 CST
Message-Id: <m0vUxYe-000Md7C@mill2.millcomm.com>
X-Sender: cestey@millcomm.com
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Version 1.4.4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 03 Dec 1996 10:19:30 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: cestey@millcomm.com (Carl Estey)
Subject: Role of TAPR as interface to NFCC?

As I watch the coordinators remailer I get the distinct feeling that establishing national band plans that might encompass more than sole repeaters and their links has become an agenda item for some within the newly formed NFCC. It seems that some folks within that group are blind to the fact that there are many more spectrum users in Amateur Radio today that just those who use repeaters.

If we are to be included in band planning I wonder if TAPR should become the focal point for digital radio spectrum users and represent those interests with the NFCC and ARRL. It is clear that those of us who are non-repeater coordinators/database managers are being methodically drummed out of the NFCC ranks shortly.

The Amateur digital community needs a voice to represent us in all fronts ... with the NFCC, ARRL and FCC. TAPR has been more aggressive in doing this as witnessed by the recent SS STA activities. I applaud the TAPR Officers and Board for taking this aggressive role and hope they will see fit to continue to do so in matters such as bandplans.

I have said my bit ... so what are your thoughts?

(BTW, please excuse the posting here but I thought it important to the Regional groups because if TAPR doesn't become the interface then each regional group will have to try ... and that is becoming more difficult to be recognized by the NFCC.)

73

Carl WA0CQG
Carl Estey, Amateur Radio Station WA0CQG
10021 Drew Ave. S., Bloomington, MN 55431-2727 (612) 835-0056/ 0067
E-Mail: cestey@millcomm.com or wa0cqg@wa0cqg-uhf.ampr.org
Digital Frequency Coordinator - Metropolitan Minneapolis/St. Paul area

From cberg@grayfox.svs.com Tue Dec 03 11:19:02 1996
Received: from svcs.com (grayfox.SVS.COM [198.4.241.2]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id LAA07176 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 3 Dec 1996 11:19:01 -0600 (CST)

From: cberg@grayfox.svs.com
Received: from napcom.svs.com by svcs.com (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id LAA14327; Tue, 3 Dec 1996 11:18:48 -0600
Date: Tue, 3 Dec 96 10:54:07 PST
Subject: RE: [REGIONAL_FREQ:134] Role of TAPR as interface to NFCC?
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
X-Chameleon-Return-To: cberg@svcs.com
X-Mailer: Chameleon ENGP1, TCP/IP for Windows, NetManage Inc.
Message-ID: <Chameleon.961203112102.cberg@napcom.svs.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Tue, 3 Dec 1996 10:21:15 -0600 (CST) Carl Estey wrote:

>As I watch the coordinators remailer I get the distinct feeling that
>establishing national band plans that might encompass more than sole
>repeaters and their links has become an agenda item for some within the
>newly formed NFCC. It seems that some folks within that group are blind to
>the fact that there are many more spectrum users in Amateur Radio today
that
>just those who use repeaters.

Agreed, Carl. There seem to be more against rather than in favor
of National bandplans on the Coordinators remailer, however.

I have objected to the exclusion of other spectrum users, namely
packet radio, in my correspondence with the Illinois Repeater
Assn. when bandplanning is discussed. I have the feeling that our
state coordination group, does not want input from any other
spectrum users, as they have not replied to my written requests
to be part of the planning process. I am speaking for our digital
coordination group in NE IL, NIPRFC.

>

>If we are to be included in band planning I wonder if TAPR should become
the
>focal point for digital radio spectrum users and represent those interests
>with the NFCC and ARRL.

Although I should not assume to speak for TAPR, I would doubt
that the TAPR BoD would want to get into a political issue as
bandplanning, on a National or regional basis. I could be wrong.

It is clear that those of us who are non-repeater
>coordinators/database managers are being methodically drummed out of the
>NFCC ranks shortly.

The thrust of events seem to indicate this. If the NFCC was truly
interested in making packet radio equal, participating partners
in amateur radio spectrum planning, they should have offered us
a place in organization, to participate in any national or regional
planning. Instead, they have deferred taking us into their
organization as full partners, with the lame excuse that they have
more important organizational issues to decide. The St Louis meeting

concluded with some vague reference to incorporating digital interests at some later date, when we "get our act together" Forgive me if I have misquoted, but the essence of the statement is correct, I believe.

>The Amateur digital community needs a voice to represent us in all fronts
>.. with the NFCC, ARRL and FCC. TAPR has been more aggressive in doing
>this as witnessed by the recent SS STA activities. I applaud the TAPR
>Officers and Board for taking this aggressive role and hope they will see
fit
>to continue to do so in matters such as bandplans.

Carl, I haven't a candidate for this role other than TAPR, but will they want to get involved? Maybe we need the equivalent of a digital MACC.

>I have said my bit ... so what are your thoughts?
>
>(BTW, please excuse the posting here but I thought it important to the
>Regional groups because if TAPR doesn't become the interface then each
>regional group will have to try ... and that is becoming more difficult to
>be recognized by the NFCC.)
>
>73
>
>Carl WA0CQG
>Carl Estey, Amateur Radio Station WA0CQG
>10021 Drew Ave. S., Bloomington, MN 55431-2727 (612) 835-0056/ 0067
>E-Mail: cestey@millcomm.com or wa0cQG@wa0cQG-uhf.ampr.org
>Digital Frequency Coordinator - Metropolitan Minneapolis/St. Paul area
>

73, Carl B. K9VXW
Pres. Northeastern Illinois Packet Radio Frequency Council

From MENDELS@abc.com Tue Dec 03 15:25:09 1996
Received: from mill2.millcomm.com (root@Mill2.MillComm.COM [199.170.133.2]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id PAA20323 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 3 Dec 1996 15:25:07 -0600 (CST)
Received: from honrbc2.resd.honeywell.com by mill2.millcomm.com with smtp (Smail3.1.29.1 #2) id m0vV2Lm-000MczC; Tue, 3 Dec 96 15:25 CST
Message-Id: <m0vV2Lm-000MczC@mill2.millcomm.com>
X-Sender: cestey@millcomm.com
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Version 1.4.4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 03 Dec 1996 15:26:33 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: MENDELS@abc.com (MENDELS) (by way of cestey@millcomm.com (Carl Estey))
Subject: Re: COORDINATOR: Band Planning

The following comments are distributed to you with the permission of their author. They appeared earlier today on the coordinators remailer. Let Steve know what you think. This is our opportunity ... and it appears

someone is listening!

Carl - wa0cqg

>>These are the areas where ALL coordinators agree. If the ARRL will not
>>do it, and the NFCC CANNOT do it, who does that leave?

Good day Gentlemen. ARRL formed a Spectrum Management Committee for just such a project. A Committee I head has been given the task of updating the way the SMC works.

It currently is like any other League Committee (DX or Contest Advisory) with each director naming members.

The League will indeed "do it" with the Spectrum Management Committee after it is reformed.

I would like to ask all of you how you would approach creating a national level committee that was not unwieldy (more than 15 members), was able to represent the geographical diversity needed to keep decisions balanced and able to represent all major spectrum users i.e. FM, ATV, DX packet cluster, digital, weak signal, satellite, APRS, translator, repeater linking and simplex.

It is not an easy task, nor a rhetorical question. Each of the readers of the remailer "does" spectrum management in one form or another. Here is your chance to have input into how the League (re)forms a national committee.

The floor is opened for discussion, but please remember I am looking for input on how, not who.

-73- Steve, W2ML, ARRL 1st Vice President

From n3aia@america.net Wed Dec 11 07:19:16 1996
Received: from atl1.america.net (ns2.america.net [199.170.121.2]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id HAA00760 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 11 Dec 1996 07:19:15 -0600 (CST)
Received: (from n3aia@localhost) by atl1.america.net (8.8.2/8.7.3) id IAA06601; Wed, 11 Dec 1996 08:14:15 -0500 (EST)
Date: Wed, 11 Dec 1996 08:14:10 -0500 (EST)
From: "Doug Reed, N3AIA" <n3aia@america.net>
X-Sender: n3aia@atl1
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:134] Role of TAPR as interface to NFCC?
In-Reply-To: <m0vUxYe-000Md7C@mill2.millcomm.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.3.91.961211081114.2208D-100000@atl1>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Is there anyone that can give me a clue on HOW I can change the remailer

to use my new email address instead of this old one??

OLD: n3aia@america.net

NEW: n3aia@worldnet.att.net

I've tried just about everything I know but all messages still continue to pour into my 'america.net' address.

Please address your replies to my NEW address.

Thanks and appreciate your help

Doug Reed, N3AIA
Georgia Digital Frequency Coordinator
Roswell, GA

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Mon Dec 16 16:10:18 1996
Received: from [208.134.134.42] ([208.134.134.42]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id QAA07840; Mon, 16 Dec 1996 16:10:13 -0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007804aedb7972789d@[208.134.134.42]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Mon, 16 Dec 1996 16:12:49 -0600
To: "TAPR-BB list mailing" <tapr-bb@tapr.org>, " NetCode " <netcode@tapr.org>,
regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: North American Digital System Directory

North American Digital System Directory

The Tucson Amateur Packet Radio Corporation (TAPR), with the support of the American Radio Relay League (ARRL), will begin providing at the TAPR Internet site the information on digital systems that until now has been printed in the annual ARRL Repeater Directory. Information on digital systems will not be published in the 1997-98 edition of the Repeater Directory, permitting the publication to better focus on its primary use as a guide to voice repeaters for traveling Amateurs.

In planning the 1997-98 edition, the ARRL concluded that the Repeater Directory was no longer the most effective medium for this information. Discussions involving various regional digital groups that provide data to the digital section of the Repeater Directory led to the conclusion that TAPR was the logical group to take on the task of a new North American Digital System Directory.

This new database system will describe systems used by amateur radio stations involved in digital communications in the United States, Canada, and Mexico. The Digital System Directory will be based on information provided by regional, state, and local organizations as well as individuals in a nearly real-time format. This should allow information to be maintained and updated more frequently than in a yearly publication. TAPR will also work with participating organizations to make this information available on TAPR's yearly CD-ROM as well as some future publication for local/regional groups

to distribute.

The purpose of the Digital System Directory is not to manage, coordinate, or regulate the usage of digital systems, but to provide the most up to date and accurate listing of digital systems that can be provided. Neither is it a formal organization, but a mechanism to allow regional groups to provide and share information regarding digital systems.

The Digital System Directory is intended for use by individuals to further their enjoyment of the hobby and by organizations to help plan and develop digital networks.

Regional/Local groups including TwinsLAN, Texas Packet Radio Society, Miami Valley FM Association, Ohio Area Repeater Council, Puget Sound AR TCP/IP Group, Northern California Packet Association, Northern Illinois Packet Radio Frequency Council, Indiana Digital Experimenters Association, Central Lakeshore Experimenter's Digital Organization, HogNet Packet Radio Association, YCCC Sysops Association, and the Missouri Amateur Packet Radio Society have already begun to participate in the process. TAPR encourages other regional groups to support this project by contributing data from their respective areas.

For further information on the project and how to get involved, regional groups should check <http://www.tapr.org/directory> or send e-mail to Carl Estey, wa0cqg@tapr.org

Released on: 12-16-96
by: TAPR and ARRL

From 73303.3537@compuserve.com Mon Dec 16 16:49:36 1996
Received: from arl-img-1.compuserve.com (arl-img-1.compuserve.com [149.174.217.131]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id QAA10161 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 16 Dec 1996 16:49:30 -0600 (CST)
Received: by arl-img-1.compuserve.com (8.6.10/5.950515) id RAA29607; Mon, 16 Dec 1996 17:47:28 -0500
Date: Mon, 16 Dec 1996 17:47:03 -0500
From: "Mark J. Thompson" <73303.3537@compuserve.com>
Subject: COORDINATOR: 1997-98 RD & Packet
To: Carl Bergstedt <cberg@grayfox.svs.com>, JOHN F CHAPUT <jfchaput@wwa.com>, Digital Coordinators <regional_freq@tapr.org>, Frank Corsanos <fcorsanos@aol.com>, Bill Davidson <DBILL@UIC.EDU>, KENNETH A PILETIC <kenpiletic@aol.com>
Message-ID: <199612161747_MC1-D38-150@compuserve.com>

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "Mabey, Jay, NU0X", INTERNET:jmabey@arrl.org
TO: "'COORD'", INTERNET:COORDINATOR@CS.TAMU.EDU
DATE: 12/16/96 3:34 PM

RE: COORDINATOR: 1997-98 RD & Packet

Sender: coordinator-owner@cs.tamu.edu
Received: from cs.tamu.edu (clavin.cs.tamu.edu [128.194.130.106]) by
arll-img-3.compuserve.com (8.6.10/5.950515)
id QAA21215; Mon, 16 Dec 1996 16:16:01 -0500
Received: (from majordom@localhost)
by cs.tamu.edu (8.8.4/8.8.4)
id PAA13155 for coordinator-outgoing; Mon, 16 Dec 1996 15:10:40
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <m0vZkIo-000f49C@mgate.arll.org>
Date: Mon, 16 Dec 1996 16:08:00 -0500
From: "Mabey, Jay, NU0X" <jmabey@arll.org>
Subject: COORDINATOR: 1997-98 RD & Packet
To: "'COORD'" <coordinator@cs.tamu.edu>
X-Mailer: Worldtalk (NetConnex V4.00a)/MIME
Sender: Coordinator-Owner@cs.tamu.edu
Precedence: bulk
Reply-To: "Mabey, Jay, NU0X" <jmabey@arll.org>

Gents:

Here is the joint ARRL / TAPR News Release being disseminated by both
organizations effective today:

73 - Jay/NU0X

>>>> FOR RELEASE BY ARRL AND TAPR 12-16-96

North American Digital System Directory

The Tucson Amateur Packet Radio Corporation (TAPR), with the support of
the American Radio Relay League (ARRL), will begin providing at the TAPR
Internet site the information on digital systems that until now has been
printed
in the annual ARRL Repeater Directory. Information on digital systems will
not be published in the 1997-98 edition of the Repeater Directory,
permitting
the publication to better focus on its primary use as a guide to voice
repeaters
for traveling Amateurs.

In planning the 1997-98 edition, the ARRL concluded that the Repeater
Directory was no longer the most effective medium for this information.
Discussions involving various regional digital groups that provide data to
the
digital section of the Repeater Directory led to the conclusion that TAPR
was
the logical group to take on the task of a new North American Digital
System

Directory.

This new database system will describe systems used by amateur radio stations involved in digital communications in the United States, Canada, and Mexico. The Digital System Directory will be based on information provided by regional, state, and local organizations as well as individuals in a nearly real-time format. This should allow information to be maintained and updated more frequently than in a yearly publication. TAPR will also work with participating organizations to make this information available on TAPR's yearly CD-ROM as well as some future publication for local/regional groups to distribute.

The purpose of the Digital System Directory is not to manage, coordinate, or regulate the usage of digital systems, but to provide the most up to date and accurate listing of digital systems that can be provided. Neither is it a formal organization, but a mechanism to allow regional groups to provide and share information regarding digital systems.

The Digital System Directory is intended for use by individuals to further their enjoyment of the hobby and by organizations to help plan and develop digital networks.

Regional/Local groups including TwinsLAN, Texas Packet Radio Society, Miami Valley FM Association, Ohio Area Repeater Council, Puget Sound AR TCP/IP Group, Northern California Packet Association, Northern Illinois Packet Radio Frequency Council, Indiana Digital Experimenters Association, Central Lakeshore Experimenter's Digital Organization, HogNet Packet Radio Association, YCCC Sysops Association and the Missouri Amateur Packet Radio Society have already begun to participate in the process. TAPR encourages other regional groups to support this project by contributing data from their respective areas.

For further information on the project and how to get involved, regional groups should check <http://www.tapr.org/directory> or send e-mail to Carl Estey, wa0cqg@tapr.org

*EOF

This message sent by "Mabey, Jay, NU0X" <jmabey@arrl.org> to the Coordinator mailing list. Replies will default to the sender of the

message. For help with the Majordomo mailing list server, send a message to Majordomo@cs.tamu.edu with HELP as the only line in the message body.

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Tue Dec 17 10:34:10 1996
Received: (from wd5ivd@localhost) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) id KAA10312; Tue, 17 Dec 1996 10:34:07 -0600 (CST)
From: Greg Jones <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Message-Id: <199612171634.KAA10312@tapr.org>
Subject: Update on NADSD Directory
To: tapr-bb@tapr.org (TAPR-BB mailing), regional_freq@tapr.org, billwa6itf@aol.com (Bill Pasternak), lenwink@indirect.com (Len Winkler), mbohnhof@pop.wva.com (Mark T. Bohnhoff), tapr-board@tapr.org (TAPR Board), wo5h@tapr.org (Dave Wolf TCET), hholly@ais.net (Hap Holly)
Date: Tue, 17 Dec 1996 10:34:06 -0600 (CST)
X-Mailer: ELM [version 2.4 PL25]
Content-Type: text

Just as a fill to the recent announcement regarding the NADSD.

The creation of the NADSD was in response to the ARRL announcing that they were dropping the digital listing from the upcoming Repeater Directory.

It seems that some are reading the announcement in such a way as to infer that TAPR had something to do with the ARRL making the decision to drop the digital listing from the Repeater Directory. This was not the case. TAPR responded to the call from several regional groups, once the announcement was made by the ARRL as to their plans, and the on-line directory concept was created and moved to closure.

If you have digital information that would be useful in the North American Digital Systems Directory, please check <http://www.tapr.org/directory>

Cheers - Greg Jones, WD5IVD
President, TAPR

From cestey@millcomm.com Tue Dec 17 11:39:10 1996
Received: from mill2.millcomm.com (root@Mill2.MillComm.COM [199.170.133.2]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id LAA14881 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 17 Dec 1996 11:39:08 -0600 (CST)
Received: from honrbc2.resd.honeywell.com by mill2.millcomm.com with smtp (Smail3.1.29.1 #2) id m0va3Un-000MdrC; Tue, 17 Dec 96 11:40 CST
Message-Id: <m0va3Un-000MdrC@mill2.millcomm.com>
X-Sender: cestey@millcomm.com
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Version 1.4.4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 17 Dec 1996 11:40:40 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: cestey@millcomm.com (Carl Estey)
Subject: NADSD Team is working!

If you haven't looked at the new TAPR North American Digital Services

Directory (NADSD) yet take a peek - its at: [HTTP://www.tapr.org/directory](http://www.tapr.org/directory)

If you represent a group that will provide data for the NADSD please register at the on-line group sign-up page. As soon as we are ready, we will be contacting those who are registered and provide info about how to send your data.

One concern someone voiced recently is "Will the NADSD include backbone info?" The answer for now is no - and we won't be seeking to collect any - now. In the future, we will collect but not distribute it to the public. The data will no doubt help plan future networks. We are asking for submittal of LAT/LONG info because it will drive the planned APRS presentations. We need your ideas about LAT/LONG does it really constitute a security risk and should it be excluded? Is a "grid square" safer? What do you think? Post your thoughts here (REGIONAL_FREQ remailer) for all to see.

Happy Holidays to all!

Carl - WA0CQG

NADSD Team focal point

Carl Estey, Amateur Radio Station WA0CQG

10021 Drew Ave. S., Bloomington, MN 55431-2727 (612) 835-0056/ 0067

E-Mail: cestey@millcomm.com or wa0cqg@wa0cqg-uhf.ampr.org

Digital Frequency Coordinator - Metropolitan Minneapolis/St. Paul area

From jeff@mich.com Tue Dec 17 12:21:01 1996

Received: from server1.mich.com (server1.mich.com [198.108.16.2]) by tapr.org

(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id MAA17241; Tue, 17 Dec 1996 12:20:59 -0600 (CST)

Received: from gw-aerodata.mich.com (gw-aerodata.mich.com [198.108.16.240])

by server1.mich.com (8.8.4/8.8.4) with SMTP

id NAA07605; Tue, 17 Dec 1996 13:16:36 -0500

Message-Id: <2.2.32.19961217182126.0069d7b0@mich.com>

X-Sender: jeff@mich.com

X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Pro Version 2.2 (32)

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Date: Tue, 17 Dec 1996 13:21:26 -0500

To: regional_freq@tapr.org

From: Jeff King <jeff@mich.com>

Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:141] NADSD Team is working!

Cc: cestey@millcomm.com, wd5ivd@tapr.org

At 11:40 AM 12/17/96 -0600, cestey@millcomm.com (Carl Estey) wrote:

>

>If you represent a group that will provide data for the NADSD please
>register at the on-line group sign-up page. As soon as we are ready, we
>will be contacting those who are registered and provide info about how to
>send your data.

I thought individuals could also submit data??

The above not occurring, how can I as a individual that has numerous

heavily used packet systems submit my data?

Thank you

-Jeff wb8wka

From cestey@millcomm.com Tue Dec 17 12:42:40 1996
Received: from mill2.millcomm.com (root@Mill2.MillComm.COM [199.170.133.2]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id MAA18479 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 17 Dec 1996 12:42:39 -0600 (CST)
Received: from honrbc2.resd.honeywell.com by mill2.millcomm.com with smtp (Smail3.1.29.1 #2) id m0va4UG-000MdrC; Tue, 17 Dec 96 12:43 CST
Message-Id: <m0va4UG-000MdrC@mill2.millcomm.com>
X-Sender: cestey@millcomm.com
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Version 1.4.4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 17 Dec 1996 12:44:11 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: cestey@millcomm.com (Carl Estey)
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:142] Re: NADSD Team is working!

Originally I wrote:

>>If you represent a group that will provide data for the NADSD please
>>register at the on-line group sign-up page. As soon as we are ready, we
>>will be contacting those who are registered and provide info about how to
>>send your data.
>

And then Jeff, WBN8WKA responded:

>I thought individuals could also submit data??
>
>The above not occurring, how can I as a individual that has numerous
>heavily used packet systems submit my data?
>
>Thank you
>
>-Jeff wb8wka

Hi Jeff -

Thanks for your note.

Although the NADSD TAPR team emphasis is on using the regional digital groups as the primary means of collecting data, we welcome individuals to fill out the on-line registration form and we will then provide more info about submitting data. If you have a regional group in your area, submit your data to them. If there is no group, or they won't accept your data, register yourself on the TAPR web site. Our interest is to support the regional groups when possible, but the ultimate goal is to get accurate info into the NADSD!

Carl - WA0CQG

Carl Estey, Amateur Radio Station WA0CQG
10021 Drew Ave. S., Bloomington, MN 55431-2727 (612) 835-0056/ 0067
E-Mail: cestey@millcomm.com or wa0cqg@wa0cqg-uhf.ampr.org
Digital Frequency Coordinator - Metropolitan Minneapolis/St. Paul area

From wb6yru@arasmith.com Wed Dec 18 04:19:34 1996
Received: from arasmith.com (Arasmith.Arasmith.com [192.135.76.1]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id EAA06704 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 18 Dec 1996 04:19:33 -0600 (CST)
Received: (from wb6yru@localhost) by arasmith.com (8.7.3/8.7.3) id CAA06429 for regional_freq@tapr.org; Wed, 18 Dec 1996 02:24:58 -0800 (PST)
Date: Wed, 18 Dec 1996 02:24:58 -0800 (PST)
From: Gary Mitchell <wb6yru@arasmith.com>
Message-Id: <199612181024.CAA06429@arasmith.com>
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: LAT/LONG question

Carl WA0CQG wrote:

> We are asking for
> submittal of LAT/LONG info because it will drive the planned APRS
> presentations. We need your ideas about LAT/LONG does it really
> constitute a security risk and should it be excluded? Is a "grid square"
> safer? What do you think? Post your thoughts here (REGIONAL_FREQ remailer)
> for all to see.

LAT/LONG can be useful information. The security issue can be minimized by not allowing the resolution to be too accurate, perhaps to no better than a couple of miles or so. Besides, if someone were bent on finding your system, they can easily find it with standard transmitter hunting techniques.

Gary WB6YRU
NCPA Pres.

From kn4aq.gary@mms.net Wed Dec 18 05:50:29 1996
Received: from MMS.NET (proton.mms.net [166.82.249.3]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id FAA08538 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 18 Dec 1996 05:50:27 -0600 (CST)
Received: from kn4aq.gary.mms.net ([166.82.249.101]) by MMS.NET with SMTP (IPAD 1.4j) id 3709800 ; Wed, 18 Dec 1996 06:52:50 EST
Message-ID: <32B7DA95.3B55@mms.net>
Date: Wed, 18 Dec 1996 06:50:45 -0500
From: Gary Pearce KN4AQ <kn4aq.gary@mms.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 2.0 (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Publish Backbone Info? I do in NC.
References: <m0va3Un-000MdrC@mill2.millcomm.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Carl mentioned that the NADSD will not publish backbone information. That's a question I struggled with (as we all have). I decided to publish the information, unless a sysop specifically requested that it not be published (none have requested that).

The issue for me is network security, and I concluded that there really is none, beyond the willingness of individual hams to respect the goals of the network. A repeater might be able to hide a control channel, with infrequent, short transmissions. But repeaters and packet systems can't hide links that are on the air routinely, since everyone has synthesized radios. So everyone knows the link or backbone exists, but they might not know just what it's for.

Publishing the frequency, and labeling it clearly, helps hams know what frequencies are in use, and for what purpose. The temptation of the "forbidden fruit" is reduced a bit as the mystery is eliminated. And packet's "claim" to spectrum is enhanced a bit as well.

Just my 2¢.

73, Season's Greetings,

Gary KN4AQ

Packet>East of NC

--

Gary Pearce Avid/Video Editor Internet:kn4aq.gary@mms.net
116 Waterfall Ct. Franklin Video
Cary, NC 27513 Raleigh, NC
919-380-9944 919-833-8888 Ham Radio: KN4AQ

From rishmael@texhoma.net Wed Dec 18 07:31:15 1996

Received: from id1.texhoma.net (id1.texhoma.net [206.41.143.11]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id HAA11492 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 18 Dec 1996 07:31:13 -0600 (CST)

Received: from texsip19.texhoma.net (texsip19.texhoma.net [206.41.143.49]) by id1.texhoma.net (8.7.5/8.6.12) with SMTP id HAA09366 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 18 Dec 1996 07:33:16 -0600 (CST)

Date: Wed, 18 Dec 1996 07:33:16 -0600 (CST)

Message-Id: <1.5.4.16.19961218082524.2f3f4366@texhoma.net>

X-Sender: rishmael@texhoma.net

X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 1.5.4 (16)

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

To: regional_freq@tapr.org

From: "Rex H. Ishmael" <rishmael@texhoma.net>

Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:145] Publish Backbone Info? I do in NC.

At 05:54 AM 12/18/96 -0600, you wrote:

>Carl mentioned that the NADSD will not publish backbone information. That's
>a question I struggled with (as we all have). I decided to publish the
>information, unless a sysop specifically requested that it not be
>published (none have requested that).

>

>The issue for me is network security, and I concluded that there really is

>none, beyond the willingness of individual hams to respect the goals of the
>network. A repeater might be able to hide a control channel, with
snip

>--

>Gary Pearce Avid/Video Editor Internet:kn4aq.gary@mms.net

>116 Waterfall Ct. Franklin Video

>Cary, NC 27513 Raleigh, NC

>919-380-9944 919-833-8888 Ham Radio: KN4AQ

>

I beleive that this may be a non-issue also, as most of the networking
systems I use
(thener x1j) have control of direct uplink or downlink that prohibits users
from accessing
any of the backbone frequencies directly.. although I have never had reason
to turn it
on out here (yet) we are ready for that situation. Knowing that they are
there is more important
to the cause that more space is needed for this activity also.

REX

=====
Rex Ishmael, Sr. Environmental Engineer, Halliburton Energy Services

E-Mail:rishmael@halnet.com (work)

E-Mail:rishmael@texhoma.net (home)

Amatuer Mail: WD0AJG@WD0AJG.#SCOK.OK.USA.NOAM
=====

From n2czf@magg.net Wed Dec 18 09:54:34 1996

Received: from grumpy.magg.net (root@grumpy.magg.net [205.218.112.2]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id JAA18706 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 18 Dec
1996 09:54:29 -0600 (CST)

Received: from default (wpb-120.magg.net [205.218.112.120]) by grumpy.magg.net
(8.8.3/8.8.3-Zaph-1) with ESMTP id KAA25400 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 18
Dec 1996 10:54:27 -0500

Message-Id: <199612181554.KAA25400@grumpy.magg.net>

From: "Richard F. Garcia" <n2czf@magg.net>

To: <regional_freq@tapr.org>

Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:144] Re: LAT/LONG question

Date: Wed, 18 Dec 1996 10:47:49 -0500

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Priority: 3

X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet Mail 4.70.1155

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Considering the inaccuracy of the more common GPS receivers the at long may
not be that big of a problem. Now if one were to use DGPS or topographical
map data that put the site right on the money that is different.

A standard GPS position, a Lat/Long with slightly incorrect data, or
whatever would be enough to track by crude DF means and even by visual in
some areas as already mentioned. In other words if lat/long is used then
might as well do it correctly. If we lie about the position to where we
really hide the site from those really bent on finding it there is no point

to plot it on a map.

> From: Gary Mitchell <wb6yru@arasmith.com>
> To: regional_freq@tapr.org
> Subject: [REGIONAL_FREQ:144] Re: LAT/LONG question
> Date: Wednesday, December 18, 1996 5:23 AM
>
> Carl WA0CQG wrote:
> > We are asking for
> > submittal of LAT/LONG info because it will drive the planned APRS
> > presentations. We need your ideas about LAT/LONG does it really
> > constitute a security risk and should it be excluded? Is a "grid
square"
> > safer? What do you think? Post your thoughts here (REGIONAL_FREQ
remailer)
> > for all to see.
>
> LAT/LONG can be useful information. The security issue can be minimized
> by not allowing the resolution to be too accurate, perhaps to no better
> than a couple of miles or so. Besides, if someone were bent on finding
> your system, they can easily find it with standard transmitter hunting
> techniques.
>
> Gary WB6YRU
> NCPA Pres.

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Wed Dec 18 14:06:39 1996
Received: from [208.134.134.42] ([208.134.134.42]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id OAA04243 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 18 Dec 1996 14:06:37
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007808aedd7f7383ebd@[208.134.134.42]>
In-Reply-To: <32B7DA95.3B55@mms.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Date: Wed, 18 Dec 1996 13:42:07 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:145] Publish Backbone Info? I do in NC.

Good point Gary.

Here is what I have in mind as to what data is posted and such.

Since the format is available, it is up to the each submitting group/person to determine what information will and will not be made available as to a full listing. If you don't want to publish your backbone freqs, then just list user access freqs. If you want your backbone freqs listed, then make sure the entry is made and as Gary comments, marked correctly in the notes.

One of the things we will be looking at later this year will be a format for establishing relationships between nodes and systems. The format will

depend on several things, but the purpose will be that when we implement the java based display (realtime pan and zoom) for the database, we should be able to show relationships between nodes. Thus, a series of BBS systems in an area could be described as how mail is passed around, or a set of nodes could be shown to be interlinks for paths. Lots of potential here.

Just to give everyone an idea where we are at on the programming of the pages.

1. focus on getting the database merging code working. This is the code that takes different databases and generates a master format that is used to generate searches and table lookup in states by different areas.
2. get the access setup on the web site for groups to access their individual dirs by password to upload database information
3. Get the interface code written that will take the master database and display first pass of the states by different categories
4. Get some test data to Steve Dimse, so he can begin to fab the javAPRS interface for the display portion of the database.

As Carl Estey mentioned the other day, the big task was getting everyone to the announcement that was made Monday and getting the first pass on the web pages down. Now comes the second pass of the web pages.

This is going to be an ongoing project for sometime.

Cheers - Greg

>Carl mentioned that the NADSD will not publish backbone information. That's
>a question I struggled with (as we all have). I decided to publish the
>information, unless a sysop specifically requested that it not be
>published (none have requested that).
>
>The issue for me is network security, and I concluded that there really is
>none, beyond the willingness of individual hams to respect the goals of the
>network. A repeater might be able to hide a control channel, with
>infrequent, short transmissions. But repeaters and packet systems can't hide
>links that are on the air routinely, since everyone has synthesized radios.
>So everyone knows the link or backbone exists, but they might not know just
>what it's for.
>
>Publishing the frequency, and labeling it clearly, helps hams know what
>frequencies are in use, and for what purpose. The temptation of the
>"forbidden fruit" is reduced a bit as the mystery is eliminated. And
>packet's "claim" to spectrum is enhanced a bit as well.
>
>Just my 2=A2.
>
>73, Season's Greetings,

>
>Gary KN4AQ
>Packet>East of NC
>--
>Gary Pearce Avid/Video Editor Internet:kn4aq.gary@mms.net
>116 Waterfall Ct. Franklin Video
>Cary, NC 27513 Raleigh, NC
>919-380-9944 919-833-8888 Ham Radio: KN4AQ

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Thu Dec 19 17:18:47 1996
Received: from [208.134.134.42] ([208.134.134.42]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id RAA00370 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Thu, 19 Dec 1996 17:18:46
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v0300782baedf78bef089@[208.134.134.42]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Thu, 19 Dec 1996 17:24:26 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Current NADSD Database Format

Be sure to check: <http://www.tapr.org/directory/how.html>
for the latest information on the NADSD data format.

There is an example Excell file (v3.0) that can be used, if you want, under
the Examples link.

I believe that this is the final format for the first round data entries.
That the overview committee will entertain comments on year two formats
later in 1997.

We have the software in place to read the files in and generate the first
stage web pages, which is based on listings by city under state/regions.

The next programming step will be to allow users to request different
sorting preferences, which is just a matter of more code now that the HASH
table is setup and can be updated and read.

The next step after that will be to get the javAPRS display stuff in
position so that a graphical display will be possible for the entire
database!!

Very exciting times.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD

Austin, Texas

wd5ivd@tapr.org

<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>
